

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-130553
	:	TRIAL NO. B-1300773
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
vs.	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
CHRISTOPHER WORLEY,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. *See* S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 2; App.R. 11.1(E); 1st Dist. Loc.R. 11.1.1.

This is a criminal appeal from five convictions for burglary. Christopher Worley challenges the sentences that were imposed by the court following his guilty pleas to the offenses. We conclude that the trial court did not err when it imposed consecutive sentences for the offenses.

Mr. Worley was indicted for five counts of burglary and one count of possessing criminal tools. Pursuant to a plea agreement with the state, he pleaded guilty to the burglaries, and the state dismissed the remaining charge. The court sentenced Mr. Worley to four years' incarceration for each count and ordered the sentences be served consecutively for an aggregate term of 20 years.

In his sole assignment of error, Mr. Worley asserts that the trial court erred by entering a sentence that is contrary to law.

Mr. Worley contends that the trial court did not make the findings required by R.C. 2929.14(C)(4) before making his sentences consecutive. We are not persuaded. Although the court used the word "feel" when stating its findings, it

plainly followed the dictates of the statute. *See* R.C. 2929.14(C)(4). We will not prescribe the words to be used by the court to comply with statutory requirements.

We are likewise unpersuaded by Mr. Worley's remaining contentions. The findings upon which the court based its imposition of consecutive sentences were supported by the record. *See* R.C. 2953.08(G)(2). And nothing in the record affirmatively indicates that the court did not consider the purposes and principles of sentencing. *See* R.C. 2929.11 and 2929.12; *State v. Bohannon*, 1st Dist. Hamilton No. C-130014, 2013-Ohio-5101, ¶ 7.

Therefore, we overrule the assignment of error and affirm the trial court's judgment.

A certified copy of this judgment entry is the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

HENDON, P.J., FISCHER and DEWINE, JJ.

To the clerk:

Enter upon the journal of the court on March 19, 2014
per order of the court _____.
Presiding Judge